

# Cache in the Air: Exploiting Content Caching and Delivery Techniques for 5G Systems

*Xiaofei Wang, Huazhong University of Science and Technology and the University of British Columbia*

*Min Chen, Huazhong University of Science and Technology*

*Tarik Taleb, NEC Europe Labs*

*Adlen Ksentini, University of Rennes 1*

*Victor C. M. Leung, the University of British Columbia*

## ABSTRACT


The demand for rich multimedia services over mobile networks has been soaring at a tremendous pace over recent years. However, due to the centralized architecture of current cellular networks, the wireless link capacity as well as the bandwidth of the radio access networks and the backhaul network cannot practically cope with the explosive growth in mobile traffic. Recently, we have observed the emergence of promising mobile content caching and delivery techniques, by which popular contents are cached in the intermediate servers (or middleboxes, gateways, or routers) so that demands from users for the same content can be accommodated easily without duplicate transmissions from remote servers; hence, redundant traffic can be significantly eliminated. In this article, we first study techniques related to caching in current mobile networks, and discuss potential techniques for caching in 5G mobile networks, including evolved packet core network caching and radio access network caching. A novel edge caching scheme based on the concept of content-centric networking or information-centric networking is proposed. Using trace-driven simulations, we evaluate the performance of the proposed scheme and validate the various advantages of the utilization of caching content in 5G mobile networks. Furthermore, we conclude the article by exploring new relevant opportunities and challenges.

## INTRODUCTION

Along with recent advances in mobile communication technologies, an ever growing number of mobile users are continuously attracted to enjoy a wide plethora of multimedia services using smartphones and tablets [1]. While the demand for rich multimedia content has increased tremendously

in recent years, the capacity of the wireless link, mobile radio network, mobile backhaul, and mobile core network cannot practically cope with the explosively growing mobile traffic due to the centralized nature of mobile network architectures [1]. Indeed, despite the continuous efforts of mobile network operators (MNOs) and network equipment vendors to enhance the wireless link bandwidth by adopting sophisticated techniques at both the physical (PHY) layer and medium access control (MAC) layers in Long Term Evolution (LTE) and LTE-Advanced systems, such as massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), carrier aggregation, and coordinated multipoint (CoMP) transmission, the utilization efficiency of the radio spectrum is notably reaching its theoretical cap.

Stemming from the observation regarding the traffic explosion problem as studied in [2–4], an important portion of mobile multimedia traffic is due to duplicate downloads of a few popular contents (e.g., popular music videos) with large sizes. Therefore, researchers and engineers have been investigating effective ways to reduce the duplicate content transmissions by adopting intelligent caching strategies inside the mobile networks, and enabling mobile users to access popular content from caches of nearby MNO gateways (e.g., using selective IP traffic offload techniques [5, 6]). From the perspective of Internet service providers (ISPs), this also helps reduce traffic exchanged inter- and intra-ISPs [3], not to mention significant reduction in the response time required to fetch a content file. Thus, the impact of Internet traffic dynamics on variation of the response latency can be eliminated. Furthermore, reducing traffic load via intelligent caching of popular content would enhance the energy efficiency of 4G networks contributing to the evolution of green 5G networks effectively.



**Figure 1.** Typical and generalized mobile network architectures: a) typical UMTS and LTE network infrastructure; b) general mobile network incorporating caching at different layers.

Caching in 3G mobile networks [2] and caching in 4G LTE [4] networks have both been proven to be able to reduce mobile traffic by one third to two thirds. Furthermore, from related studies, it has become apparent that the technical key issues fall into the following three questions:

**Where to cache?:** As illustrated in Fig. 1, due to the all-IP nature of current cellular networks, two places can be envisioned for deploying caches:

- The **evolved packet core (EPC)**, which consists of (among many other nodes) the serving gateway (S-GW), packet data network gateway (P-GW), and mobility management entity (MME) in LTE networks
- The **radio access network (RAN)**, which consists of NodeBs in 3G networks and evolved NodeBs (eNBs) in 4G LTE networks, as well as non-Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) accesses, such as Wi-Fi access points and worldwide interoperability for microwave access (WiMAX) base stations (BSs)


It shall be noted that while in this article we mainly focus on 4G LTE networks as a representative example, and thus consider EPC for the core network, conclusions will be applicable to the core of 3G mobile networks consisting of (among many other nodes) the serving general packet radio service (GPRS) support node (SGSN) and the gateway GPRS support node (GGSN). In addition, throughout this article, we primarily refer to eNBs but without the intention of excluding NodeBs in 3G networks or BSs in WiMAX networks. The deployment of caches within EPC using conventional content delivery networking (CDN) techniques has been studied in [2, 3], while studies relevant to radio access network (RAN) caching have been made in [7, 8].

**What to cache?:** Caching aims to achieve a trade-off between the transmission bandwidth cost, which is usually expensive, especially for

the inter-ISP traffic bandwidth, and the storage cost, which is becoming much cheaper. However, the scale of content acquired by content providers (CPs) is growing significantly and it is thus all but impossible to cache all content. It is hence important to decide what content to cache taking into account content *popularity*. As practically captured in [3, 4], only a small amount of popular content is accessed by a large portion of mobile user requests, while a long tail of contents remains unpopular [4]. In order to reduce outbound traffic or, say, inter-ISP traffic, it is of vital importance to improve the diversity of the cached content to ensure that most content can be fetched within the MNO's network. On the other hand, to reduce intra-ISP traffic, mobile users' requests for content may have to be locally satisfied by eNBs to which they respectively attach; neighboring eNBs do not necessarily have to cache similar contents since they can share and exchange contents (e.g., using interface X2 in an evolved packet system). It shall be noted that different file types also have different "cacheability," as studied in [4]. For instance, among all the content types, images and videos have the highest revisit rate, and cacheable contents from Facebook have the highest probabilities of revisits.

**How to cache?:** Caching policies, deciding what to cache and when to release caches, are crucial for overall caching performance. It is effectively important to estimate the gain behind a content by evaluating its current popularity, potential popularity, storage size, and locations of existing replicas over the network topology. Rather than adopting traditional caching policies, such as least recently used (LRU), least frequently used (LFU), and first-in first-out (FIFO), it is challenging to design cooperative caching policies for EPC and RAN caching to appropriately improve the cache hit ratio.

In Fig. 2, we show four different scenarios wherein there is no caching, or caching is used within the mobile core network (i.e., in the



**Figure 2.** Comparison of a) no caching; b) EPC caching; c) EPC+RAN caching; d) EPC+RAN+D2D caching.

evolved packet core, EPC, and/or the RAN). The figure illustrates how traffic is duplicated and can be reduced thanks to caching. We assume that 9 out of 12 mobile users ( $u_1, u_2, \dots$ , and  $u_{12}$ ) are requesting the same content from CP via several eNBs in the MNO ( $n_1, n_2, \dots$ , and  $n_N$ ). Green lines are for cables connecting EPC and RAN, while black lines represent the delivered copies of the requested content. The rectangle at the MNO core and eNBs represent the caching storage. Without any in-network caching, as shown in Fig. 2a, traffic is transmitted in a duplicative manner (with a high redundancy of nine copies). When caching at EPC is used, as illustrated Fig. 2b, the inter-ISP traffic can be significantly reduced to just one single copy, but the intra-ISP traffic from EPC to users via eNBs in the RAN remains the same (i.e., nine copies) with high redundancy. Furthermore, if caching is deployed at the RAN, as shown in Fig. 2c, the intra-ISP traffic can be reduced to four, and each eNB can locally satisfy the mobile users' requests, while the EPC caching storage can be reduced. If eNBs can exchange content with neighbor eNBs, the caching redundancy can be further reduced.

In this article, we investigate emerging caching techniques for mobile cellular networks, and explore potential research challenges and opportunities. This article is organized as follows. We discuss EPC and RAN caching techniques, respectively. CCN-based caching is further discussed. Related performance evaluation is shown. We detail new challenges and open issues. The article concludes.

## CACHING WITHIN EPC

Both EPC caching and RAN caching can significantly reduce user-perceived latency as well as the transmission of redundant traffic over the network. Furthermore, caching at the edge of the network has the effect of smoothing traffic spikes and balancing the backhaul traffic over a long period of time. As shown in Fig. 1, 3G and 4G cellular networks, as well as the expected 5G networks, generally have a centralized architecture where all packets are concentrated within a small number of EPCs in a country. With the improvement of RAN technologies and wider deployments of cell towers, the backhaul links to those EPCs will suffer from huge traffic loads.

Current widely deployed caching functions mostly take place within the EPC, that is, at the P-GW forming the so-called mobile content delivery network (mobile CDN) [3, 4]. Locating cache servers in a centralized fashion with EPC nodes at the premises (e.g., data center) of an MNO admittedly eases the management of both EPC and mobile CDN. This can also easily scale horizontally with increased demand for content as well as the diversity of content, and will improve the visibility of content dynamics and user characteristics so that caching at the EPC will enjoy a higher hit rate. However, downstream of the EPC (i.e., at the RAN), currently contents are transmitted via eNBs by GPRS Tunneling Protocol (GTP) with encapsulation, so it is technically easier to deploy content-aware caching at the EPC than at the RAN.

By caching content at the mobile core net-

Both EPC caching and RAN caching can significantly reduce user-perceived latency as well as the transmission of redundant traffic over the network. Furthermore, caching at the edge of the network has the effect of smoothing traffic spikes and balancing the backhaul traffic over a long period of time.

*Moving application processing resources toward the network edge, closer to mobile users, will make it possible to simultaneously reduce network traffic and improve quality of experience. This can further optimize the most expensive part of the network operating cost of the various fiber leased lines that connect eNBs to EPC.*

work of 3G [2] and 4G [4] systems, one to two thirds of mobile traffic can be reduced. Currently, there are generally two kinds of caching techniques:

- Web caching, which is object-oriented caching with content awareness, and consists of web caching based on uniform resource locator (URL) and prefix-based web caching
- Redundancy elimination (RE), which is protocol-independent and flow-oriented or packet-oriented, and consists of chunk-level RE, TCP-RE, and packet-level RE. These are described in details hereunder.

#### WEB CACHING

**URL-Based Web Caching** — A large portion of mobile traffic (i.e., 82 percent) uses HTTP [2]. It is therefore beneficial to deploy web caching middle-boxes either between EPC and the gateway to the Internet (or any packet data network — PDN) or between RAN and EPC [3]. Every web content has a URL address, and each URL can identify one content in most cases. If a user requests content by a specific URL, while there is a cached content with the same URL address in the middle-box, the content is directly returned without fetching from a remote server. The caching server will maintain the table of every content's URL and count the access frequency by users' URL requests.

While object caching is the most effective caching method from a response time and bandwidth utilization perspective, it has three fairly significant limitations:

- Aliasing of content (same content with different URLs)
- Uncacheability (i.e., temporary content or one-time-use content)
- Content updates (same URL with different content)

**Prefix-Based Web Caching** — Prefix-based web caching is an evolved version of URL-based web caching. It identifies the duplicated content cache not only by URL or a part of the prefix of the URL, but also by further confirmation of the hash (prefix key) of the first  $N$  bytes of the objects and the content-length fields. It regards two content objects as of the same content if their prefix keys match and their sizes are equal. If  $N$  is small, there may be false positives, but if  $N$  is large, the computation overhead is significant. Hence, the proper setting of  $N$  is of vital importance.

#### REDUNDANCY ELIMINATION

The RE technique is protocol-independent as it does not depend on the delivered service or application, but rather on the monitored chunks or packets. RE can remove duplicate byte strings from arbitrary network flows by deploying two middle-boxes on the link between PDN (e.g., Internet) and P-GW, and on the link between S-GW and RAN. The first middle-box divides incoming content into small chunks or packets, and verifies that there are existing cached chunks with the same size and hash; it then sends a stream of chunk hashes to the second middle-box, which reconstructs the original content with

those hashes and subsequently delivers it to mobile users. RE needs to be fast, adaptive, and parsimonious in memory usage in order to opportunistically leverage the caching resource. There are three popular types of RE techniques:

- Chunk-level RE
- TCP-level RE
- Packet-level RE, depending on the scale of the penetration of the traffic flows

**Chunk-Level RE** — In chunk-based caching, all files are split into chunks, while each chunk is hashed by the caching servers. If the hash and the size of two chunks are the same, they are considered as duplicates, and future requests will go to the same cached chunk. Chunk-level RE solves issues relevant to aliasing, uncacheable content, and content update. However, it lacks awareness of content and does not facilitate cooperative caching strategies for global optimization.

**TCP-Level RE** — Due to the wide dominance of HTTP-based traffic (above TCP/IP), we hereby also discuss TCP-level RE, which is similar in spirit to chunk-based caching. TCP-RE works over the content of each TCP flow. The caching middle-boxes reassemble the segments in the same TCP flow and divide them into smaller chunks (either fixed-sized or variable-sized) that serve as the unit of caching. While TCP-level RE has additional overhead to manage TCP flows, it allows scalable cache management as well as flow-based caching policies.

**Packet-Level RE** — One popular caching approach is packet-level RE, which detects candidate fragments for RE within each IP packet on smaller chunk sizes (e.g., 32–64 bytes), typically using content-based fingerprinting functions. By packet caching middle-boxes, the upstream middle-box removes redundant bytes, and the downstream middle-box reconstructs full packets. However, there are a few drawbacks with packet-level RE in high-speed cellular backhaul networks:

- Small chunks can easily explode the index sizes in a high-speed network.
- A small chunk size would incur a higher hashing and content reconstruction cost, stressing the memory system, which typically becomes the performance bottleneck in memory-based RE.

MNOs may want to apply RE to only large file downloads or specific content types that exhibit high redundancy with a small management overhead (e.g., multimedia content like videos, images, and content from famous social network services such as Facebook). Based on [3], bypassing any HTTP responses smaller than 32 kbytes, the cost of managing 90.6 percent of the flows could be eliminated while still ensuring 31.6 percent bandwidth savings.

## CACHING AT RAN

Moving application processing resources toward the network edge closer to mobile users will make it possible to simultaneously reduce network traffic and improve quality of experience.

This can further optimize the most expensive part of the network operating cost of the various fiber leased lines that connect eNBs to EPC. The research work conducted in [7] focuses on caching popular video clips in the RAN. The “FemtoCaching” concept in [8] also discusses and evaluates the video content delivery through distributed “caching helpers” in femtocell networks. However, most RAN caching solutions face the same implementation issues: eNBs establish “tunnels” between users and the EPC, while content files are packetized and then encapsulated by GTP tunneling, making it difficult to carry out object-oriented or content-aware caching.

To overcome this shortcoming, the natural evolution of caching is to cache repetitive portions of an object, known as *byte caching* [9]. Byte caching is a protocol-, port-, and IP-address-independent bidirectional caching technology that functions at the network layer by looking for common sequences of data in the bytes of packet flows. Byte caching represents an enhanced fine-grained approach similar to the packet-level RE scheme. It does not need to split flows into segments, but continuously penetrates into the byte strings to cache the often used bytes and eliminate any redundant ones. For example, if an enterprise logo is used on all documents, byte caching will identify this common part of bytes on the different files and prevent that data from being transmitted redundantly.

Unlike centralized EPC caching, one important issue of RAN caching consists of the fact that the caching space at each eNB is practically small, and the number of users served by individual eNBs is usually small (i.e., unless in highly dense areas such as Times Square in New York City), resulting in low-to-moderate hit ratios. Therefore, intelligent caching resource allocation strategies and cooperative caching policies among (neighboring) eNBs is mandatory for efficient RAN caching.

## CCN-BASED CACHING

An important requirement behind 4G has been the all-IP feature of its architecture. Incorporating CCN techniques could become an important feature of 5G mobile networks. As per the need for decentralizing mobile CDN services, CDNs are getting distributed further, incorporating information-centric and content-aware caching techniques, and forming the so-called information-centric (ICN) or content-centric networking (CCN) architecture [10] for the future Internet. The primary goal of CCN is to facilitate in-network data storage for universal caching in every network node. Major CCN designs have the following common attributes:

- Receiver-oriented and chunk-based transport
- In-network per-chunk caching
- Name-based forwarding
- Uniquely identifiable content naming

For further details on CCN, the interested reader is referred to [10, 11].

In CCN, a user requests a particular content by issuing interest packets to neighbors. If the

requested content can be retrieved in the caching store (CS) of any device, the content is directly delivered from the device. Otherwise, routers propagate interest towards appropriate content sources and store information for each forwarded interest in the pending interest table (PIT). Routers and other network nodes will push the cached or fetched content toward their requester(s) based on the information stored in their respective PITs and also on a strategic caching policy. In mobile networks, there are many routers within the EPC and RAN whereby CCN-based caching can be enabled.

5G mobile networks are expected to include CCN-capable gateways, routers, and eNBs. For example, the adaptive mobile video streaming with offloading and sharing in wireless named data networking (AMVS-NDN) framework [11] has realized a CCN architecture in a commercialized WiMAX BS implementing CCN-based caching and achieving significant traffic reduction. CCN-based caching will be universal and pervasive in future mobile networks. Due to the wide distribution of caching resources, cooperative caching policies should carefully consider content popularity, freshness, diversity, and replica locations over the network topology in order to achieve the following objectives:

**Minimization of inter-ISP traffic (outbound traffic):** This can be guaranteed when the cached content in all storage has the highest diversity so that content can be fetched within the same ISP (supporting the same EPC and RAN) as much as possible. That is, for any content, only one copy is stored, and content is cached according to popularity until the whole storage of caches within the EPC and RAN is full.

**Minimization of intra-ISP traffic (traffic within the EPC and RAN):** This can be guaranteed when most popular contents are cached at each eNB, so most requests can be locally satisfied without many exchanges among eNBs. This objective may induce situations when many eNBs cache the same popular content, so it is somehow contradictory to the requirement of content diversity in the aforementioned objective.

**Minimization of content access delay of all users:** Users may fetch content from local eNBs, routers in the RAN and EPC, and even from remote CP servers with different delays. To optimize the quality of service (QoS) of all users, it is important to consider effective caching policy (i.e., placing an object  $o_i$  in a caching eNB node, RAN node, and/or EPC node) to minimize the total delay (Eq. 1), where  $o_i$  denotes a content,  $p_i$  is the popularity (request frequency) of  $o_i$ , and  $t_{eNB}$ ,  $t_{RAN}$ ,  $t_{EPC}$ , and  $t_{CP}$  represent the transmission delays of the corresponding part of the network. There should be a balance among caching choices at the local eNBs, RAN, and EPC with respect to content popularity. Good cooperative caching policies are still challenging.

## PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Regarding cacheability and caching efficiency of real traces, Erman *et al.* have reported that 68.7 percent of the HTTP objects were cacheable with 33 percent of the cache hit ratio in their

*As per the need for decentralizing mobile CDN services, CDNs are getting distributed further, incorporating information-centric and content-aware caching techniques, and forming the so-called information-centric or content-centric networking architecture for the future Internet.*

From the evaluation of traffic reduction and the cost estimation, it is proved that caching in the EPC and RAN can significantly enhance the capacity of current 3G and 4G networks, ensuring high energy efficiency, and thus should be included in the solutions toward the evolution to green 5G mobile networks.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Minimize : } & \sum_{o_i \in \text{Locale\_eNB}} p_i \cdot t_{eNB} + \sum_{o_i \in \text{Neighbor\_eNB}} p_i \cdot (t_{eNB} + t_{RAN}) \\
 & + \sum_{o_i \in \text{EPC}} p_i \cdot (t_{eNB} + t_{RAN} + t_{EPC}) + \sum_{o_i \in \text{CP}} p_i \cdot (t_{eNB} + t_{RAN} + t_{EPC} + t_{CP}) \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Subject to: for any node  $n_j$  (eNB, RAN, or EPC),  $\sum_{o_i \in \text{cache of } n_j} \text{file\_size}(o_i) \leq \text{cache\_storage\_size}(n_j)$

two-day measurements of a 3G network in 2010 [2]. While the fraction of cacheable objects is small (53.9 percent) in the measurement conducted in [3], the cache hit ratio is similar (38.3 percent) to that obtained in [2]. The week-long measurements in [3] with over 370 Tbytes of 3G mobile traffic reveal that standard web caching can reduce download bandwidth consumption by up to 27.1 percent, while simple TCP-level RE can save bandwidth consumption by 42.0 percent with a cache of 512 Gbytes of RAM.

Furthermore, we also carry out preliminary trace-driven simulation to compare the performance of the aforementioned caching techniques with respect to the user access delay and traffic load if we vary the portion of total caching size to the total content size (excluding duplicated ones). The traffic load is calculated by  $\sum_{\text{ForAllDelivery}} (\text{ContentSize} \cdot \text{DeliveryHopCount})$ . We use the IRCache HTTP content caching and forwarding traces [12], collected for 30 days from June to July in 2013, to represent the user requests of popular Internet content. Then, referring to the illustrated infrastructure in Fig. 1, we apply the trace-driven traffic to a randomly generalized MNO topology with 300 mobile users attached to 30 eNBs that are interconnected with 5 routers and 1 EPC node. Link delay is randomly assigned (ranging from 10 to 20 ms) for per transmission hop. Note that the commonly used LFU caching policy is utilized. As shown intuitively in Fig. 3, legacy cellular networks without caching techniques do not improve users' experienced delays much; nor do they reduce overall traffic load. Depending on the deployment architecture of EPC nodes, the improvement of EPC caching in users' delay is also limited, as a certain portion of the delay is due to the link between EPC nodes and RAN. On the other hand, RAN caching largely contributes to reduction in both delay and traffic load as popular content are mostly cached in the last hop. The proposed CCN-based universal caching in the EPC and RAN exhibits the best performance. This is mainly due to the fact that it caches content at every network device in both the EPC and RAN, employing cooperative optimization on content distribution.

In addition to their advantages in mobile traffic reduction, EPC and RAN caching can also reduce the operation expense (OPEX) of MNOs by shrinking inter-ISP bandwidth payment and lowering the cost due to deployment and maintenance of cables and transport devices in the EPC and RAN. Based on a recent analysis of three main mobile network markets, Chicago (9.5 million users with about 5600 BSs), Munich (2.6 million users with about 1300 BSs), and Hangzhou (1.8 million users with about 1100 BSs) [13], a consistent pattern of savings in net-

work OPEX is shown in Table 1. From the evaluation of traffic reduction and the cost estimation, it is proved that caching in the EPC and RAN can significantly enhance the capacity of current 3G and 4G networks, ensuring high energy efficiency, and thus should be included in the solutions toward the evolution to green 5G mobile networks.

## OPEN ISSUES AND CHALLENGES


### DISTRIBUTED CACHE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND COOPERATIVE CACHING POLICY

An important limitation of RAN caching con- lies in the fact that the caching space at individual eNBs is usually small and the user base is quite limited, which will potentially result in low hit ratios. Generally speaking, a RAN consists of thousands of eNBs interconnected via high-capacity links. A well tuned inter-eNB cooperative caching policy is therefore needed that considers the dynamics of local user demands, caching status of neighboring eNBs, and global optimization of caching resource utilization as well as the maximization of QoS of all users.

There is practically a trade-off between the *diversity* of content stored inside EPC and RAN and the *redundancy* of the replicas of popular content in eNBs. Figure 4 shows a typical scenario with a cooperative caching policy in the EPC, RAN, and intermediate routers, wherein some popular content are stored at local eNBs, and some are cached in the EPC, while in a small area with several neighboring eNBs, one content does not necessarily need to be cached with multiple copies. In order to achieve efficient cooperation among nodes (devices) in the EPC and RAN, the caching status should be effectively shared among nodes (devices), most importantly incurring only a minimal signaling overhead. Additionally, as there are always numerous new content coming, the underlying caching policy should also consider the dynamic changes of the content popularity and user demands so that adequate caching decisions are made online and in real time. Another important issues of RAN caching pertains to service continuity (i.e., upon a handoff operation, termination of content transmission from source eNB, and prefetching of content in the target attaching eNB). Mobility-aware caching and prefetching in the RAN are highly needed. In this vein, solutions similar to the Follow Me Cloud concept can be envisioned [14].

### REALISTIC RAN CACHING IMPLEMENTATION

In spite of the above mentioned challenges, there are already a few RAN products with caching support. Notable examples are Blue



**Figure 3.** Performance evaluation of EPC caching, RAN caching, and CCN caching: a) user content access delay; b) number of content transmissions.

Coat ProxySG appliances, CacheMARA's caching proxy techniques, Altobridge's "Data-at-the-Edge" solution, Saguna Networks' Content Optimization Delivery System with caching in small cells, and Nokia Siemens Networks Radio Application Cloud Server (RACS) for liquid application service for BSs. These existing RAN caching techniques are mainly based on packet-level RE or byte caching, which are not content-aware at all. They thus may suffer from high implementation complexity, as well as a scalability problem. There is already one study for CCN-based content-aware RAN caching in the AMVS-NDN framework [11]. In this study, the CCN architecture is imported into a WiMAX femtocell station, while CCN-based caching for LTE eNBs is still under realization.

The 3GPP specifications relevant to local IP access (LIPA) and selective IP traffic offload (SIPTO) via a home eNB [15] enable 3G/4G small cells (femtocells) to directly connect to the Internet. Hence, caching at eNBs in the RAN will become like caching at the distributed and collocated EPC (since local PDN GWs will be a part of EPC). An effective caching solution for small cells deploying LIPA and SIPTO [8] may be required, especially for coordinating among neighboring small cells, and proactively and predictively caching content for home users regarding the continuity and optimality of the content delivery may become a promising research topic, which may bring further technological advances toward the realization of next generation content-aware 5G mobile networks.

Chicago market	No cache	EPC cache	EPC + RAN cache	Reduction
Internet inter-ISP cost	\$310	\$222	\$148	52%
Eternal cost	\$1,028	\$1,028	\$653	36%
Total network OPEX	\$1,836	\$1,748	\$1,298	29%
Munich market	No cache	EPC cache	EPC + RAN cache	Reduction
Internet inter-ISP cost	\$164	\$117	\$78	52%
Eternal cost	\$276	\$276	\$172	38%
Total network OPEX	\$569	\$522	\$380	33%
Hangzhou market	No cache	EPC cache	EPC + RAN cache	Reduction
Internet inter-ISP cost	\$190	\$136	\$91	52%
Eternal cost	\$135	\$135	\$92	32%
Total network OPEX	\$405	\$351	\$262	35%

**Table 1.** Ten-year network OPEX estimation [13]; unit: \$1 million.




Figure 4. An example of a cooperative caching policy.

### INTEGRATION WITH VIRTUALIZATION OF CELLULAR NETWORKING DEVICES

The potential use of software-defined networking (SDN) [16] in 4G mobile networks as well as future 5G networks has been gaining lots of momentum recently. In 4G mobile networks, the control and data planes are separated, but with use of the emerging network function virtualization (NFV) technique [17], networking nodes become controllable, programmable, and, most important, scalable and elastic for resource utilization adapting to user and content dynamics. This may handle the capacity needs of each station and ramp up or scale down node capability depending on the mobile traffic dynamics.

SDN is mostly focusing on the adaptability and controllability of network functions using virtualized resource management systems. SDN provides a fine-grained packet classifier and flexible routing, which can easily direct a chosen subset of traffic through a set of middle-boxes. Content-aware forwarding and caching may then become easier, particularly with the support of deep-packet inspection (DPI) technologies. New caching and delivery mechanisms for an SDN-based 5G mobile network environment become more critical and important, but are still pending.

### CACHING WITH MULTICAST AND DEVICE-TO-DEVICE

Although caching techniques can reduce traffic within a mobile network, this does not impact the load of conveying the content via the wireless link. For effective delivery of the same content to a group of mobile users, the evolved multimedia broadcast multicast service (eMBMS) [18] of LTE may be envisioned, integrated along with necessary caching techniques in the EPC and RAN. Furthermore, the wireless link load can be further reduced with the use of local caching and sharing techniques such as device-to-device (D2D), a hot topic currently under investigation within 3GPP 4G LTE-Advanced [19]. Using D2D, mobile users can use

operator authorized spectrum for direct communication without the support of infrastructure, so users can cache content locally in their own devices and share them with friends directly [20]. As shown in Fig. 2d, caching at the RAN along with caching and sharing among mobile users via D2D can significantly reduce the traffic load over the cellular links from eNBs to users. However, it is quite challenging to design efficient caching and sharing strategies in the RAN (eNBs) and user devices. A potential research direction for offloading 5G traffic may be how to effectively exploit user social relationships to facilitate caching and sharing activities by D2D communication [21].

## CONCLUSIONS

It is generally agreed that current RAN deployments and mobile backhaul networks cannot cope with the ever growing demand of mobile users for rich multimedia services. Caching can be a potential solution. In this article, we have provided an overview of some emerging caching techniques for current 3G, 4G, and future 5G networks, comparing between EPC caching, RAN caching, and CCN-based caching. Based on trace-driven evaluation and related analysis, we have demonstrated that the deployment of in-network caching into mobile networks can potentially help reduce mobile traffic. We have also presented a number of promising research opportunities and relevant challenges, particularly related to distributed cache resource management, cooperative caching policy, and content-aware RAN caching. Conclusively, we have highlighted the roles that NFV, eMBMS, and D2D can play in further improving the gains that can be acquired from caching in mobile networks.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Prof. Min Chen's work was supported by the Youth 1000 Talent Program, China National Natural Science Foundation (No. 61300224), China Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), the International Science and Technology Collaboration Program (Project No.: 2014DFT10070), and the Hubei Provincial Key Project (No. 2013CFA051).

### REFERENCES

- [1] X. Wang *et al.*, "A Survey of Green Mobile Networks: Opportunities and Challenges," *ACM/Springer Mobile Networks and Applications (MONET)*, vol. 17, no. 1, Feb. 2012, pp. 4–20.
- [2] J. Erman *et al.*, "To Cache or Not to Cache — The 3G Case," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 15, no. 2, Mar. 2011, pp. 27–34.
- [3] S. Woo *et al.*, "Comparison of Caching Strategies in Modern Cellular Backhaul Networks," *ACM MobiSys*, June, 2013.
- [4] B. A. Ramanan *et al.*, "Cacheability Analysis of HTTP traffic in an Operational LTE Network," *Wireless Telecommun. Symp.*, Apr. 2013.
- [5] K. Samdanis, T. Taleb, and S. Schmid, "Traffic Offload Enhancements for eUTRAN," *IEEE Commun. Surveys & Tutorials.*, vol. 14, no. 3, 3rd qtr. 2012, pp. 884–96.
- [6] T. Taleb, Y. Hadjadj-Aoul, and S. Schmid, "Geographical Location and Load Based Gateway Selection for Optimal Traffic Offload in Mobile Networks," *IFIP Networking*, Valencia, Spain, May 2011.
- [7] H. Ahlehage and S. Dey, "Video Caching in Radio Access Network: Impact on Delay and Capacity," *IEEE WCNC*, Shanghai, China, Apr. 2013.

- [8] N. Golrezaei *et al.*, "FemtoCaching: Wireless Video Content Delivery through Distributed Caching Helpers," *IEEE INFOCOM*, Mar. 2012.
- [9] F. Le, M. Srivatsa, and A. K. Iyengar, "Byte Caching in Wireless Networks," *IEEE ICDCS*, June 2012.
- [10] B. Ahlgren *et al.*, "A Survey of Information-Centric Networking," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 50, no. 7, July 2012, pp. 26–36.
- [11] B. Han *et al.*, "AMVS-NDN: Adaptive Mobile Video Streaming with Offloading and Sharing in Wireless Named Data Networking," *IEEE INFOCOM, NOMEN Wksp.*, Apr. 2013.
- [12] Nat'l. Lab. for Applied Network Research, Weekly Squid HTTP Access Logs, <http://www.ircache.net/>.
- [13] H. Sarkissian, "The Business Case for Caching in 4G LTE Networks," LSI tech. rep., 2012.
- [14] T. Taleb and A. Ksentini, "Follow Me Cloud: Interworking Federated Clouds and Distributed Mobile Networks," *IEEE Network*, vol. 27, no. 5, Sept./Oct. 2013, pp. 12–19.
- [15] Local IP Access and Selected IP Traffic Offload (LIPA-SIPTO), <http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/html-info/23829.htm>.
- [16] X. Jin *et al.*, "SoftCell: Scalable and Flexible Cellular Core Network Architecture," *ACM CoNEXT*, Dec. 2013.
- [17] Global Network Operators, "Network Functions Virtualization: An Introduction, Benefits, Enablers, Challenges, & Call for Action," white paper, Oct. 2012.
- [18] S. Ramesh, I. Rhee, and K. Guo, "Multicast with Cache (Mcache): An Adaptive Zero-Delay Video-on-Demand Service," *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 11, no. 3, Mar. 2001, pp. 440–56.
- [19] K. Doppler *et al.*, "Device-to-Device Communication as an Underlay to LTE-Advanced Networks," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 47, no. 12, Dec. 2009, pp. 42–49.
- [20] X. Wang *et al.*, "Content Dissemination by Pushing and Sharing in Mobile Cellular Networks: An Analytical Study," *IEEE MASS*, Las Vegas, NV, Oct. 2012.
- [21] X. Wang *et al.*, "T OSS: Traffic Offloading by Social Network Service-Based Opportunistic Sharing in Mobile Social Networks," *IEEE INFOCOM*, Toronto, Canada, Apr. 2014.

## BIOGRAPHIES

XIAOFEI WANG (xiaofeiwang@ieee.org) is currently a post-doctoral research fellow in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of British Columbia (UBC). He received M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from the School of Computer Science and Engineering, Seoul National University (SNU) in 2008 and 2013, respectively. He received his B.S. degree from the Department of Computer Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST) in 2005. He is a recipient of the Korean Government Scholarship for Excellent Foreign Students in the IT Field by NIPA from 2008 to 2011, and he also received the Global Outstanding Chinese Ph.D. Student Award in 2012. His current research interests are social-aware multimedia service in cloud computing, cooperative backhaul caching, and traffic offloading in mobile content-centric networks.

MIN CHEN [SM'09] (minchen@ieee.org) is a professor in the School of Computer Science and Technology at HUST. He was an assistant professor in the School of Computer Science and Engineering at SNU from September 2009 to

February 2012. He worked as a post-doctoral fellow in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at UBC for three years. Before joining UBC, he was a postdoctoral fellow at SNU for one and a half years. His research focuses on Internet of Things, machine-to-machine communications, body area networks, body sensor networks, e-healthcare, mobile cloud computing, cloud-assisted mobile computing, ubiquitous networks and services, mobile agents, multimedia transmission over wireless networks, and so on.

TARIK TALEB (talebtarik@ieee.org) received his B.E. degree (with distinction) in information engineering, and M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in information sciences from GSIS, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, in 2001, 2003, and 2005, respectively. He is currently a senior researcher and 3GPP standards expert with NEC Europe Ltd., Heidelberg, Germany. Prior to his current position, until March 2009, he was an assistant professor with the Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University. From October 2005 to March 2006, he was a research fellow with the Intelligent Cosmos Research Institute, Sendai. His research interests include architectural enhancements to 3GPP networks, mobile multimedia streaming, wireless networking, intervehicular communications, satellite and space communications, congestion control protocols, handoff and mobility management, and network security.

ADLEN KSENTINI (Adlen.Ksentini@irisa.fr) is an associate professor at the University of Rennes 1, France. He is a member of the INRIA Rennes team Dionysos. He received an M.Sc. in telecommunication and multimedia networking from the University of Versailles. He obtained his Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Cergy-Pontoise in 2005, with a dissertation on QoS provisioning in IEEE 802.11-based networks. His other interests include future Internet networks, cellular networks, green networks, QoS, QoE, and multimedia transmission. He is involved in several national and European projects on QoS and QoE support in future wireless and mobile networks. He is a co-author of over 60 technical journal and international conference papers. He received Best Paper Awards from IEEE ICC 2012 and ACM MSWiM 2005. He has been on the Technical Program Committees of major IEEE Com-Soc conferences, including ICC/GLOBECOM, ICME, WCNC, and PIMRC.

VICTOR C. M. LEUNG [F] (vleung@ece.ubc.ca) received B.A.Sc. (Hons.) and Ph.D. degrees, both in electrical engineering, from UBC, where he holds the positions of professor and TELUS Mobility Research Chair in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering. He is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada, Engineering Institute of Canada and the Canadian Academy of Engineering. He has contributed more than 700 technical papers, 26 book chapters, and 5 book titles in the areas of wireless networks and mobile systems. He was a Distinguished Lecturer of the IEEE Communications Society. He has served or is serving on the editorial boards of *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, *Wireless Communications*, *Vehicular Technology*, *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, and several other journals, and has contributed to the Organizing and Technical Program Committees of numerous conferences. He was a winner of the 2012 UBC Killam Research Prize and the IEEE Vancouver Section Centennial Award.